

Message-Id:<9413280@ared.uscourts.gov> Subject:Activity in Case 4:21-cv-00189-LPR Hammett v. Portfolio Recovery Associates LLC et al Order on Motion for Sanctions Content-Type: text/html

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please **DO NOT RESPOND** to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

Eastern District of Arkansas

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 7/3/2023 at 10:34 AM CDT and filed on 7/3/2023

Case Name: Hammett v. Portfolio Recovery Associates LLC et al

Case Number: 4:21-cv-00189-LPR

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 06/15/2023

Document Number: 243(No document attached)

Docket Text:

(This is a TEXT ENTRY ONLY. There is no pdf document associated with this entry.) ORDER denying [222] Motion for Sanctions For the reasons set forth in paragraphs 15 and 16 of [239] PRA's Response, Ms. Hammett's Motion is denied. Moreover, even if the Court concluded that some sanction was appropriate for the conduct Ms. Hammett identified in her Motion for Sanctions, the Court would at most issue an admonishment to PRA's counsel. This case, and the rhetoric in it, has become heated and sharp on both sides. While PRA's counsel have thrown their share of sharp elbows, the record reveals that Ms. Hammett has engaged in conduct that comes perilously close to harassment of opposing counsel and abuse of the litigation process. In these conditions, even if PRA's counsel put a pinky toe over the appropriate line, any sanction over an admonishment would be more than what is necessary to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 11(c)(4). Signed by Judge Lee P. Rudofsky on 7/3/23. (cmr)

4:21-cv-00189-LPR Notice has been electronically mailed to:

David S. Mitchell, Jr dsmitchell@roselawfirm.com, bridgeway@roselawfirm.com

James K. Trefil james.trefil@troutman.com, carla.hill@troutman.com, jo.strulson@troutmansanders.com, sonya.thompson@troutman.com

Andrew J. Middlebrooks andrew.middlebrooks@arkansasag.gov, agcivil@arkansasag.gov, gabrielle.mays@arkansasag.gov

Nancy Anne Smith nsmith@roselawfirm.com

John Komisin john.komisin@troutman.com

4:21-cv-00189-LPR Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Laura Lynn Hammett
16 Gold Lake Club Road
Conway, AR 72032