## **United States Court of Appeals**

## For The Eighth Circuit

Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse 111 South 10th Street, Room 24.329

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Maureen W. Gornik

Acting Clerk of Court

VOICE (314) 244-2400 FAX (314) 244-2780 www.ca8.uscourts.gov

June 05, 2024

Laura Hammett 16 Gold Lake Club Road Conway, AR 72032

RE: 23-2638 Laura Hammett v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, et al 23-3093 Laura Hammett v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, et al 23-3432 Laura Hammett v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, et al

#### Dear Laura Hammett:

The court has issued an opinion in this case. Judgment has been entered in accordance with the opinion.

Please review Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the Eighth Circuit Rules on postsubmission procedure to ensure that any contemplated filing is timely and in compliance with the rules. Note particularly that petitions for rehearing and petitions for rehearing en banc <u>must</u> be received in the clerk's office within 14 days of the date of the entry of judgment. Counsel-filed petitions must be filed electronically in CM/ECF. Paper copies are not required. Except as provided by Rule 25(a)(2)(iii) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, no grace period for mailing is allowed. Any petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc which is not received within the 14 day period for filing permitted by FRAP 40 may be denied as untimely.

> Maureen W. Gornik Acting Clerk of Court

**CRJ** 

Enclosure(s)

cc: Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Arkansas
Sean T.H. Dutton
John E. Komisin
David Stockley Mitchell Jr.
Honorable Lee P. Rudofsky
Nancy Anne Smith
James K. Trefil
Misha Tseytlin

District Court/Agency Case Number(s): 4:21-cv-00189-LPR

4:21-cv-00189-LPR

Appellate Case: 23-2638 Page: 1 Date Filed: 06/05/2024 Entry ID: 5400738

Appellate Case: 23-2638 Page: 2 Date Filed: 06/05/2024 Entry ID: 5400738

# United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

|--|

### Laura Hammett

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC; Does, 1-99

Defendants - Appellees

No. 23-3093

## Laura Hammett

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC; Does, 1-99

Defendants - Appellees

No. 23-3432

\_\_\_\_\_

Laura Hammett

Plaintiff - Appellant

Appellate Case: 23-2638 Page: 1 Date Filed: 06/05/2024 Entry ID: 5400738

v.

## Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC; Does, 1-99

Defendants - Appellees

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeals from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Central

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: May 29, 2024 Filed: June 5, 2024 [Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, ERICKSON, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated appeals, Laura Hammett appeals the district court's<sup>1</sup> adverse grant of summary judgment on her claims under state law and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, adverse grant of costs, and denial of her post-judgment motion to correct errors in a transcript.

We lack jurisdiction to review the denial of her post-judgment motion because the notice of appeal (NOA) as to that order was untimely. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A) (NOA must be filed within 30 days after entry of order appealed); <u>see also Dieser v. Cont'l Cas. Co.</u>, 440 F.3d 920, 923 (8th Cir. 2006) (timely NOA is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Lee P. Rudofsky, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

mandatory and jurisdictional). After careful review of the record and the parties' arguments on appeal in the other matters, we agree with the district court's thorough and well-reasoned analysis of Hammett's claims, see Kuntz v. Rodenburg LLP, 838 F.3d 923, 924 (8th Cir. 2016) (standard of review); and we discern no error in the grant of costs, see Dindinger v. Allsteel, Inc., 853 F.3d 414, 431 (8th Cir. 2017) (standard of review). As to Hammett's arguments challenging the district court's rulings on a host of other issues, we find no basis for reversal.

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal of the denial of Hammett's post-judgment motion, and otherwise affirm. We also deny her pending motions.