

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PULASKI, ARKANSAS**

SEAN LYNN; and  
LAURA HAMMETT

PLAINTIFFS

v.

Case No. 60CV-26-216

BOARD OF TRUSTEES of the UNIVERSITY  
of ARKANSAS, in their official capacity;  
et al.

DEFENDANTS

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 3 – Complete Blog Post “UAMS Lawyer Admits Some Lies; Creates Others”

A Higher Law *And the Madness of Our Courts*

September 14, 2025

- in Uncategorized
- Leave a comment
- 

## UAMS Lawyer Admits Some Lies; Creates Others

Mrs. Sherri Robinson, the \$160,000 per year public attorney representing UAMS, filed what she called Amended and Substituted Answers to the Requests for Admissions.

I can't make this up. So, with no more ado:

### REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

REQUEST NUMBER 1: Admit that Sean Lynn gave no informed consent to be treated at UAMS.

[OLD] ANSWER: Denied. Consent is not required in Emergency Situations. Moreover, the person who was with Lynn in the emergency room gave consent for his admission and treatment at UAMS.

AMENDED AND SUBSTITUTED ANSWER: UAMS admits that Lynn's written consent was not received upon his admission to UAMS; however, UAMS denies that it was required. Arkansas Code Annotated § 20-9-603 provides that "when an emergency exists and there is no one immediately available who is authorized, empowered to, or capable of consent," then "consent is excused or implied at law" for a licensed physician to provide medical treatment. Lynn arrived at UAMS by ambulance, and EMS personnel notified medical providers that he had fallen from a ladder at a height of 30-35 feet. EMS advised UAMS that Lynn had experienced a loss of consciousness, and UAMS providers observed that Lynn was continuing to have confusion. As a result of the initial assessment, Lynn was admitted to the Emergency Department Trauma Service. No one was with Lynn in the emergency room, and he was not capable of giving consent at that time. Based on the information that UAMS had at that moment and the nature of Lynn injuries, an emergency under Ark. Code Ann. § 20-9-603 existed which excused the requirement for UAMS to obtain consent to treat him.

REQUEST NUMBER 15: Admit that UAMS staff administered sedatives to Sean Lynn against his will.

[OLD] ANSWER: Denied.

AMENDED AND SUBSTITUTED ANSWER: UAMS denies that it administered sedatives to Lynn against his will. UAMS admits that Lynn was given medications for the purpose of treating the symptoms he was experiencing as the result of his injuries, significantly, a traumatic brain injury. While some of those medications may have had a sedating effect, the treatment goal was to ease the symptoms Lynn was experiencing and to improve his overall condition.

REQUEST NUMBER 16: Admit that UAMS staff administered antipsychotic medications to Sean Lynn against his will.

[OLD] ANSWER: Denied.

AMENDED AND SUBSTITUTED ANSWER: UAMS denies that it administered antipsychotic medications to Lynn against his will. UAMS admits that Lynn was given medications for the purpose of treating the symptoms he was experiencing as the result of his injuries, significantly, a traumatic brain injury. While some of those medications may have been classified pharmacologically as antipsychotics, the treatment goal was to ease the symptoms Lynn was experiencing and to improve his overall condition.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO.20: Admit that UAMS used Chemical Restraints on Sean Lynn.

[OLD] ANSWER: Denied.

AMENDED AND SUBSTITUTED ANSWER: UAMS denies that it used a chemical restraint on Lynn. UAMS admits that Lynn was given medications for the purpose of treating the symptoms he was experiencing as the result of his injuries, significantly, a traumatic brain injury. While some of those medications, in other settings and at certain doses, may be used as a chemical restraint, the treatment goal in using the medications administered to Lynn was to ease the symptoms he was experiencing and to improve his overall condition.

Here, I'll throw out a couple of snippets from cases from my 1L studies:

With respect to the failure to obtain consent, a patient has the burden of proving each of three essential propositions: First, that the Plaintiff sustained damages; second, that the medical care was provided without consent; third, that such failure was a proximate cause of damages to the Plaintiff. *Millsap v. Williams*, 2014 Ark. 469, 12, 449 S.W.3d 291, 298 (2014). "No" means "No".

*Flores v. Santiago*, 986 N.E.2d 1216 (Ill. App. Ct. 2013) (patient of defendant eye doctor sufficiently alleged an inability to consent due to defendant repeatedly plying her with illegal drugs in order to facilitate sexual contact).

Here are the docs for download:

**BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION**

**SEAN LYNN AND  
LAURA HAMMETT** **CLAIMANTS**

**V. NO. 250191**

**UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS  
FOR MEDICAL SCIENCES** **RESPONDENT**

**RESPONSE TO CLAIMANTS' FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS ON  
BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS FOR MEDICAL  
SCIENCES**

Comes now Respondent, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS), by and through its undersigned counsel, and for its Response to Claimants' First set of Requests for Admissions propounded on Respondent pursuant to Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure 33 and 34, requests as follows:

**OBJECTIONS**

Respondent objects to the instructions and definitions set forth by Claimants. These discovery requests are being answered only in accordance with Arkansas law and the Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure and not in accordance with any instructions or definitions of Claimants. Respondent will supplement its responses to Claimants' discovery after obtaining all the records and the pertinent deposition testimony of the fact witnesses and any expert witnesses.

UAMS Answers to First Set of Requests for Admission (<https://court-corruption.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/uams-answers-to-first-set-of-requests-for-admission.pdf>) Download (<https://court-corruption.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/uams-answers-to-first-set-of-requests-for-admission.pdf>)

## BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION

SEAN LYNN AND  
LAURA HAMMETT

CLAIMANTS

V.

NO. 250191

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS  
FOR MEDICAL SCIENCES

RESPONDENT

AMENDED AND SUBSTITUTED RESPONSE TO  
CLAIMANTS' FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION  
ON BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS  
FOR MEDICAL SCIENCES

Comes now Respondent, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS), by and through its undersigned counsel, and for its Amended and Substituted Response to Claimants' First set of Requests for Admissions, states as follows.

OBJECTIONS

Respondent objects to the instructions and definitions set forth by Claimants. These discovery requests are being answered only in accordance with Arkansas law and the Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure and not in accordance with any instructions or definitions provided by Claimants. Respondent will supplement its responses to Claimants' discovery if additional information becomes available.

INTRODUCTION

At Claimants' request, UAMS has reviewed its Answers to Claimants' First Set of Requests for Admission, particularly the Requests to which UAMS objected or denied. UAMS provides Amended and Substituted Responses to Requests 1, 15, 16, and 20. For convenience, UAMS is including all Requests and Answers in one document entitled Amended and Substituted Response for the benefit of the Arkansas State Claims

UAMS Amended & Substituted Answers to 1st set of RFAs (<https://court-corruption.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/uams-amended-substituted-answers-to-1st-set-of-rfas.pdf>) Download (<https://court-corruption.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/uams-amended-substituted-answers-to-1st-set-of-rfas.pdf>)

Tags: Lawyers Lie about their lies, UAMS Attorney Sherri Robinson



## About LauraLynnHammett

Regular people like you and I should have access to justice, even if we can't afford an attorney. Judges must stop their cronyism. Attorneys who use abusive tactics against pro se litigants should be disbarred. This site discusses some of the abuses by our legal professionals. It also gives media attention to cases that are fought and sometimes won by the self represented.

[View all posts by LauraLynnHammett »](#)

A Higher Law

Blog at WordPress.com.